

Evaluation Write it right

How would you score the whole course?

Very bad → 1 → 2 → 4 → 8 → very good

How would you score the trainer?

Very bad → 2 → 3 → 9 → very good no score: 1

I can apply the principles used in the training in my work as a PhD student:

Not at all → 1 → 5 → 9 → very much

How would you score the speed of the training?

Very fast → 6 → 5 → 4 → very slow

This training has improved my academic writing skills:

Not at all → 1 → 3 → 1 → 7 → 2 → very much no score: 1

How would you score the duration of the training?

Too short → 2 → 7 → 6 → too long

How would you score the contents of the used materials?

Very bad → 1 → 7 → 5 → 2 → very good

How much did you learn from the course?

Very little → 1 → 3 → 1 → 8 → 2 → very much

How would you score the ratio between theory and practice?

Very bad → 2 → 2 → 5 → 6 → very good

Would you recommend this course to fellow students?

Not at all → 1 → 2 → 5 → 7 → absolutely

Do you have any remarks? Summary:

#1 Useful course. Trainer brags too much though about their own course, during the course.

#2 Very good. It was clear from the start what to expect and they lived up to it.

#3 It was very good.

#4 There are differences when writing a computer science paper. The trainers should take this difference into account.

Conclusion based on scores:

The PhD students are very positive about the content of the training and the trainers. The participants are a bit more critical about the speed and duration of the course, and the contents of the used materials. But overall, the course is rated really good.