



Evaluations

Supervision and Training of Early Stage Researchers

Ms. Janet Metcalfe

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,69
Did the content meet your needs?	3,63
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,81
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,81
Overall Average:	3,75

The Future of Marie Curie Actions in the 7th Framework Programme

Ms. Daniela Corda and Mr. Conor O'Carroll

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,36
Did the content meet your needs?	2,91
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,45
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,36
Overall Average:	3,27

Recruitment in the Scientific World

Mr. Denis Weaire

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,19
Did the content meet your needs?	3,05
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,71
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,30
Overall Average:	3,31

Gender in Science and Research

Ms. Urša Opara Krašovec and Ms. Marcela Linkova

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,38
Did the content meet your needs?	3,06
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,73
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,44
Overall Average:	3,40



European Charter for Researchers and Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers

Ms. Dagmar M. Meyer

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,22
Did the content meet your needs?	2,85
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,37
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,26
Overall Average:	3,18

The House of Experiments

Mr. Luka Vidic

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,40
Did the content meet your needs?	2,93
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,87
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,67
Overall Average:	3,47

Human Resources Management for Improvement of R&D Competitiveness – A Case: Slovenia

Mr. Franci Demšar

	Average grade
Quality of content:	2,89
Did the content meet your needs?	2,44
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	2,60
Overall impression of the presentation:	2,88
Overall Average:	2,70

Shaping the Public Opinion of Science and Research

Ms. Adriana Jalba and Mr. Andrew Millington

	Average grade
Quality of content:	2,94
Did the content meet your needs?	2,39
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	2,72
Overall impression of the presentation:	2,83
Overall Average:	2,72



Write it Right! – Scientific Writing

Mr. Christopher Lowe

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,93
Did the content meet your needs?	3,81
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,96
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,96
Overall Average:	3,92

The Ethical Dimension of Science and Research

Ms. Marja Sorsa and Mr. Antonio Marturano

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,27
Did the content meet your needs?	3,09
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,00
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,10
Overall Average:	3,12

Mobility without Regrets: Getting the Most out of a Research Stay Abroad

Ms. Dagmar M. Meyer

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,60
Did the content meet your needs?	3,40
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,60
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,60
Overall Average:	3,55

Communication with the Public

Ms. Darja Čot, Ms. Jana Kolar and Mr. Declan Fahy

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,74
Did the content meet your needs?	3,53
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,89
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,74
Overall Average:	3,72



Bridging the Gap between Academia and Industry/Business

Ms. Ivana Casaburi and Mr. Jan Misker

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,58
Did the content meet your needs?	2,74
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,47
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,26
Overall Average:	3,26

Successful Mentoring – a Workshop for Mentors and Mentees

Ms. Marina Larios

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,64
Did the content meet your needs?	3,64
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,57
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,57
Overall Average:	3,61

Integrating SEE Countries in the European Research Area

Mr. Howard Moore and Mr. Peter B. Mayr

	Average grade
Quality of content:	3,50
Did the content meet your needs?	3,37
Performance of the speakers and workshop chairs:	3,80
Overall impression of the presentation:	3,44
Overall Average:	3,53



Organization

	Average grade
Accommodation	3,31
Official Dinner	3,59
Meals (breakfast, lunches)	3,50
Coffee Break (Saturday)	3,44
Transport to the site	3,67
Communication before the Forum	3,25
Welcome Package	3,40
Help from the organizers during the event	3,80
Did the organization meet your needs	3,48
Overall Impression of the Forum	3,68

Brand name recall (from 69 returned questionnaires)

	Percentage
Campino	69,57
Toffifee	92,75
The Embassy of the Czech Republic in Slovenia	56,52
Deželna banka Slovenije (DBS)	37,68
Krka	65,22
Slovene Research Agency	62,32
Slovene Science Foundation (SZF)	20,29
The Slovenia Times	78,26



General Comments

Some of the speakers were using too much insider slang (e.g. EU slang, EU abbreviations).

Communication with the organizers was poor (grade 2) regarding organization (selection) of posters.

I was missing hearing Slovene language on the opening. I believe it was not appropriate that the singers didn't perform in Slovene as well considering Slovenia is hosting the event and that even Mr. Potocnik pointed out the diversity.

Free water or soft drinks to drink (not just free wine) during lunch and dinner.

I'd like to go to more lectures that were happening at the same time.

The speakers of the reports should speak much louder.

Thank you, it was a great forum!

This is a wonderful initiative that should be renewed and given a great deal of attention to build a strong bridge between not only researchers but between old and new Europe for the advancement of learning in our diverse Europe.

I don't have any comments regarding the overall impression of the forum as you really put a lot of effort into it, also the organization, the location and staff – everything was fantastic. Maybe just one comment – the descriptions and introductions of workshops should be presented in more details in advance (on Internet) – this would enable me and easier and better selection of workshops.

You shouldn't have noisy, chaotic and not informed staff.

You should serve water to the delegates – it would be less expensive than serving fruit.

You should provide descriptions of the workshops in advance.

You should publish the contact info of lecturers in the programme booklet.

You should publish the room info for session in the programme booklet.

You shouldn't organize dinner in the open and let people trying to eat decently while standing and trying not to freeze to death.

Franci Demšar: He has his arms closed in front of his body, that's no good representing of a person. He speaks a bit boring so people feel tired after a workshop.

The information board was not so good organized (late and not enough information where lectures, dinner, lunches are taking place).

There was not enough time between lectures (this caused us to be late to some lectures).

The organizers staff in the hotel were nice and were happy to help at all times.

»Bridging the gap« has been a very good workshop. But because the Forum was so dominated by biologists/life scientists it would have been nice to have had a speaker closer to life sciences.

I enjoyed the two days very much! Thank you very much!

Weakness: Timewise the agenda was very full and the activities were on three locations and it was not always clear what is where and when.

I liked the balance between policy workshops and active workshops.

Two days and one night (especially with such packed schedule) was not enough for people to get to know each other and exchange experiences informally.

I really enjoyed the poster session – interesting to see so many different themes covered.

Some more table sat the official dinner would be nice, but it was a wonderful dinner, thank you!